1	KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP	
2	CHRISTA M. ANDERSON - # 184325 canderson@kvn.com	
3	RACHAEL E. MENY - # 178514 rmeny@keker.com	ELECTRONICALLY
4	R. JAMES SLAUGHTER - # 192813 rslaughter@keker.com	FILED Superior Court of California,
5	BROOK DOOLEY - # 230423 bdooley@keker.com	County of San Francisco 06/15/2020
6	ERIC H. MACMICHAEL - # 231697 emacmichael@keker.com	Clerk of the Court BY: RONNIE OTERO Deputy Clerk
7	ELIZABETH K. MCCLOSKEY - # 268184 emccloskey@keker.com	
8	633 Battery Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1809	
9	Telephone: 415 391 5400 Facsimile: 415 397 7188	
10	Attorneys for Lyft, Inc.	
11		
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
13	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
14	UNLIMITED JURISDICTION	
15	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALFIORNIA,	Case No. CGC-20-584402
16	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF ELIZABETH K. MCCLOSKEY IN SUPPORT OF
17	V.	DEFENDANT LYFT, INC.'S UNOPPOSED EX PARTE APPLICATION
18	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., A Delaware	FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT
19	Corporation; LYFT, INC., A Delaware	
20	Corporation; and DOES 1-50, inclusive, Defendants.	Dept.: 610 Judge: Hon. Garrett L. Wong
21	Defendants.	Date Filed: May 5, 2020
22		Trial Date: TBD
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

I, ELIZABETH K. MCCLOSKEY, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of California and am a partner at Keker, Van Nest & Peters LLP, located at 633 Battery Street, San Francisco, California, 94111, counsel for Defendant Lyft, Inc. ("Lyft") in the above-captioned action.
- 2. Except where expressly stated, I have knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and if called to testify as a witness thereto, could do so competently under oath.
- 3. On May 22, 2020, Plaintiff Attorney General served its Complaint and summons on Lyft. Absent any extension, Lyft's deadline to respond to the Complaint would be June 22, 2020
- 4. Lyft recently retained Keker, Van Nest & Peters LLP to represent it in the above-captioned action. Because this case raises several complicated and novel legal issues, counsel for Lyft requires more than 30 days to investigate and prepare a response to the Complaint.
- 5. On June 8, 2020, my colleague Christa M. Anderson sent an email to Plaintiff's counsel Minsu Longiaru, Satoshi Yanai and Marisa Hernandez-Stern to request that Plaintiff agree to a sixty-day extension of time for Lyft to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint, so that Lyft's responsive pleading would be due on August 21, 2020.
- 6. On June 9, 2020 at 5:19 p.m., Ms. Yanai sent Ms. Anderson an email stating that Plaintiff has no objection to Lyft's requested sixty-day extension of time to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint, and would not oppose Lyft's application to the Court.
- 7. Defendants' *ex parte* application and all supporting papers were served on Plaintiff via email on June 15, 2020.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Executed on June 15, 2020, in Oakland, California.

1		
2		
3	s/ Elizabeth K. McCloskey ELIZABETH K. MCCLOSKEY	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	DECLARATION OF ELIZABETH K. MCCLOSKEY IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT LYFT, INC.'S	
	DECLARATION OF ELIZABETH K. MCCLOSKEY IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT LYFT, INC.'S UNOPPOSED EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT Case No. CGC-20-584402	